

DEFORMATION-OBSTRUCTION THEORY FOR COMPLEXES VIA ATIYAH AND KODAIRA–SPENCER CLASSES

DANIEL HUYBRECHTS AND RICHARD THOMAS

ABSTRACT. We give a universal approach to the deformation-obstruction theory of objects of the derived category of coherent sheaves over a smooth projective family. We recover and generalise the obstruction class of Lowen and Lieblich, and prove that it is a product of Atiyah and Kodaira–Spencer classes. This allows us to obtain deformation-invariant virtual cycles on moduli spaces of objects of the derived category on threefolds.

1. INTRODUCTION

The deformation theory of objects of the derived category of coherent sheaves on a smooth projective family has been studied in [12, 13]. These papers produce a class which is the obstruction to deforming a complex sideways over an infinitesimal deformation. The theory is not in its final form, however. The obstruction class is expected to be a product of Atiyah and Kodaira–Spencer classes, but this has only been proved in some situations (such as [5]). As a consequence the results are not strong enough to obtain virtual cycles [2, 11], as discussed in [15, Sect. 2], for instance.

This paper generalises [12, 13] by working universally, using Fourier–Mukai kernels as in [5, 6]. We put the discussion of [5, App. C], which deals with deformations over $\mathrm{Spec}(k[t]/t^n)$, in a more general context. This allows us to identify the obstruction class of [12, 13] with the product of certain Atiyah and Kodaira–Spencer classes. We are then able to obtain virtual cycles on moduli spaces of simple complexes on threefolds, completing the foundational work required in [15, Sect. 2].

While the classical Atiyah and Kodaira–Spencer classes were enough for the case treated in [5], Illusie’s versions of these classes, defined in [7] using the cotangent complex, are necessary to go beyond the simplest cases. This was done for complexes of modules in [7] and for a single sheaf in [3]. However, as with the theory of virtual cycles [2, 11], only a small part of the cotangent complex affects the computations. For this reason we work with truncated versions of the cotangent complex, Atiyah class and Kodaira–Spencer class. These can be written down simply and explicitly, without reference to Illusie’s versions, after picking an embedding into a smooth ambient space. This keeps our presentation elementary, avoiding dg and simplicial resolutions.

More specifically, suppose there exists an embedding of a noetherian separated scheme X in a smooth ambient space A with ideal sheaf $J \subset \mathcal{O}_A$. In fact, throughout we will work in the relative context. So, our schemes will be locally of finite type over a separated noetherian base scheme B , which we will usually suppress in the notation. In particular, the smoothness of A

means smooth over B and Ω_A denotes the relative cotangent sheaf $\Omega_{A/B}$ of A over B , which is locally free if A is smooth over B . For most situations such an embedding indeed exists, although in [5] the ambient space A is only a formal scheme, which is good enough and does not affect any of our arguments.

The truncation $\tau^{\geq -1}L_X^\bullet$ of Illusie's cotangent complex is quasi-isomorphic to the complex

$$\mathbb{L}_X := (J/J^2 \longrightarrow \Omega_A|_X)$$

concentrated in degrees -1 and 0 (see Section 2). Then for any perfect complex E on X we introduce the truncated Atiyah class

$$A(E) \in \mathrm{Ext}_X^1(E, E \otimes \mathbb{L}_X).$$

The class $A(E)$ lifts the classical Atiyah class in $\mathrm{Ext}_X^1(E, E \otimes \Omega_X)$ via the obvious map $\mathbb{L}_X \longrightarrow \Omega_X$. Moreover, it can be shown that $A(E)$ is the truncation of Illusie's Atiyah class in $\mathrm{Ext}_X^1(E, E \otimes L_X^\bullet)$, though we will not need this.

Suppose that $i: X_0 \hookrightarrow X$ is a square zero thickening of schemes, i.e. i is a closed embedding defined by an ideal sheaf I on X with $I^2 = 0$. We inherit an embedding $X_0 \subset A$ from $X \subset A$, yielding a truncated cotangent complex $\mathbb{L}_{X_0}$. Then one can also define a truncated Kodaira–Spencer class

$$\kappa(X_0/X) \in \mathrm{Ext}_{X_0}^1(\mathbb{L}_{X_0}, I)$$

of the embedding $X_0 \subset X$. Given a perfect complex E_0 over X_0 , there is, as above, a truncated Atiyah class $A(E_0) \in \mathrm{Ext}_{X_0}^1(E_0, E_0 \otimes \mathbb{L}_{X_0})$. The main result of this paper is that the product of these classes is the obstruction to deforming the complex E_0 over X . All schemes are considered over a fixed separated noetherian base scheme B .

Theorem *Let E_0 be a perfect complex on a separated noetherian scheme X_0 and let $i: X_0 \hookrightarrow X$ be a closed embedding defined by an ideal I of square zero. Assume that X can be embedded into a smooth (over B) ambient space A . Then there exists a perfect complex E on X such that the derived pull-back i^*E is quasi-isomorphic to E_0 if and only if*

$$0 = (\mathrm{id}_{E_0} \otimes \kappa(X_0/X)) \circ A(E_0) \in \mathrm{Ext}_{X_0}^2(E_0, E_0 \otimes I).$$

The existence of the obstruction class is already proved in [12, 13] (in the slightly less general product situation of $X_0 = X \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(A)} \mathrm{Spec}(A_0)$, all over some base B). But the explicit expression as the product of the truncated Atiyah class of E_0 and the truncated Kodaira–Spencer class of the thickening $X_0 \subset X$ is crucial for some applications [5, 15].

Although there is also a version of the theorem phrased in terms of the full cotangent complex $L_X^\bullet$ and Illusie's corresponding Atiyah and Kodaira–Spencer classes, only the degree -1 and 0 parts of $L_X^\bullet$ contribute to the obstruction because the lower degree terms yield classes in $\mathrm{Ext}^{\geq 3}$.

In this paper we emphasize the view that all classes (Atiyah, Kodaira–Spencer, obstruction) are most naturally defined universally. Suppose we have a class $a(E) \in \mathrm{Ext}_X^k(E \otimes F_1, E \otimes$

F_2) which is natural in E for fixed complexes F_1 and F_2 on X . Thinking of it as a natural transformation of functors

$$(\cdot) \otimes F_1 \longrightarrow (\cdot) \otimes F_2[k]$$

then by the philosophy of Fourier–Mukai transforms one expects it to be represented by a morphism of complexes

$$a: i_{\Delta_X*}(F_1) \longrightarrow i_{\Delta_X*}(F_2)[k] \quad \text{on } X \times X.$$

That is $a(E)$ should be obtained by tensoring a with π_1^*E and then taking the direct image π_{2*} . (Here π_i is projection onto the i th factor of $X \times X$ and i_{Δ_X} is the diagonal inclusion.)

So in this paper we define *universal* truncated Atiyah and Kodaira–Spencer classes, and their product defines a *universal* obstruction class

$$\varpi: \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X_0}} \longrightarrow i_{\Delta_{X_0}*}(I)[2].$$

Applying this to any complex E_0 yields the obstruction class $\varpi(E_0)$ described by the main theorem. This avoids the ad-hoc constructions of Lieblich. Note that universal Atiyah classes were studied via the full cotangent complex in [4], but for the truncated version our approach is much more elementary.

A topic of current interest [9, 10, 15, 16, 17] is defining invariants of threefolds X (and particularly Calabi–Yau threefolds) using virtual cycles on moduli spaces of objects of $D^b(X)$ satisfying some natural conditions (such as stability or simplicity). This paper fills the gap mentioned in [15, Sect. 2] to give such virtual cycles.

Notation. All schemes will be defined over a fixed base B , which we shall barely mention. Properties (such as smooth) will mean relative to B . Products will be fibre products over B . Our schemes will be separated and noetherian.

In the sheaf–theoretic computations of Section 2 most of our functors are the usual ones; in Sections 3 and 4 they are all derived. Thus, for instance, Hom denotes the (quasi-isomorphism class of) the complex RHom , with cohomologies $\mathcal{H}^i(\mathrm{Hom}) = \mathrm{Ext}^i$.

We use $I_{Y \subset Z}$ to denote the ideal sheaf of a subscheme Y of another scheme Z , with a few exceptions. We reserve I to denote the square zero ideal of $i: X_0 \subset X$, and J denotes the ideal of an ambient embedding of X in some smooth A . The ideal of the induced embedding $X_0 \subset A$ is denoted by J_0 . And for any separated scheme Y , we use I_{Δ_Y} to denote the ideal of the diagonal Δ_Y , the image of the diagonal embedding $i_{\Delta_Y}: Y \longrightarrow Y \times Y$.

We sometimes suppress pushforwards by embeddings, to keep the notation to a minimum. Thus $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_Y}$ might denote $i_{\Delta_Y*}\mathcal{O}_Y$.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Max Lieblich, Emanuele Macrì and Paolo Stellari for valuable comments on a first version of this paper, and Barbara Fantechi, Ian Grojnowski and Yinan Song for useful remarks. Financial support for the first author by Imperial College, EPSRC and SFB/TR45 of the DFG are gratefully acknowledged. The second author thanks the Royal Society and Leverhulme Trust for support.

2. TRUNCATED ATIYAH AND KODAIRA–SPENCER CLASSES

2.1. The truncated cotangent complex. Fix a closed embedding $X \subset A$ of a B -scheme X into a smooth B -scheme A and let $J \subset \mathcal{O}_A$ be its ideal sheaf.

The composition of the differential $d_A: J \subset \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow \Omega_A$ with the projection $\Omega_A \rightarrow \Omega_A|_X$ is an $\mathcal{O}_A$ -module homomorphism¹. It factors through J/J^2 to become an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear homomorphism

$$d_{X/A}: J/J^2 \longrightarrow \Omega_A|_X$$

with cokernel Ω_X .

Definition 2.1. The *truncated cotangent complex* of X (in A) is the length-two complex²

$$\mathbb{L}_X := \left(J/J^2 \xrightarrow{d_{X/A}} \Omega_A|_X \right)$$

concentrated in degrees -1 and 0 . By construction, $\mathbb{L}_X$ comes with a natural homomorphism $\mathbb{L}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{L}_X) \simeq \Omega_X$.

As the notation suggests, the complex $\mathbb{L}_X$ is independent (up to quasi-isomorphism) of the embedding $X \subset A$. This is proved by showing that $\mathbb{L}_X$ is quasi-isomorphic to the truncation $\tau^{\geq -1}(L_X^\bullet)$ of Illusie’s cotangent complex, or directly as follows. Suppose that X embeds into two smooth schemes A_1, A_2 with ideals J_1, J_2 , giving the diagonal embedding in $A_1 \times A_2$ with ideal J_{12} . Then the short exact sequence of 2-term complexes

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (J_1/J_1^2 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{A_1}|_X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (J_{12}/J_{12}^2 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{A_1}|_X \oplus \Omega_{A_2}|_X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\Omega_{A_2}|_X & \xlongequal{\quad} & \Omega_{A_2}|_X), \end{array}$$

induced by the composition $X \subset A_1 \times A_2 \rightarrow A_1$, shows that $\mathbb{L}_X$ defined via A_1 is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathbb{L}_X$ defined via $A_1 \times A_2$.

2.2. The truncated Atiyah class. The following simple Lemma is really the key to this paper. It does not require the assumption that A is smooth.

Lemma 2.2. *For any $X \subset A$ with ideal sheaf $J \subset \mathcal{O}_A$, the kernel of the natural surjection $I_{\Delta_A}|_{X \times X} \rightarrow I_{\Delta_X}$ is isomorphic to*

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{X \times A}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}) \simeq i_{\Delta_X*}(J/J^2).$$

¹We are suppressing the base B in $\Omega_{A/B}$, $d_{A/B}$, etc.

²More precisely this is $\mathbb{L}_{X/B}$, but we shall not mention the base B again.

Proof. We first claim that the restriction of the sheaf I_{Δ_A} to $X \times A$ is isomorphic to $I_{\Delta_X \subset X \times A}$. Tensoring the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow J \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_A \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A \times A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times A} \longrightarrow 0$$

by $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_A}$ gives the exact sequence

$$i_{\Delta_A*} J \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since the leftmost arrow is an injection, $\mathcal{T}or_1^{A \times A}(\mathcal{O}_{X \times A}, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_A}) = 0$. Therefore restricting $0 \longrightarrow I_{\Delta_A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A \times A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_A} \longrightarrow 0$ to $X \times A$ is exact:

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow I_{\Delta_A}|_{X \times A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus indeed $I_{\Delta_A}|_{X \times A} \simeq I_{\Delta_X \subset X \times A}$.

Restricting (2.2) to $X \times X$ yields the exact sequence

$$(2.3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow I_{\Delta_A}|_{X \times X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathcal{K}$ is the kernel we want to compute. Therefore $\mathcal{K} \simeq \mathcal{T}or_1^{X \times A}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times X})$. Computing this by tensoring the exact sequence

$$(2.4) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \boxtimes J \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times X} \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X}$ gives

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{K} \simeq \mathcal{T}or_1^{X \times A}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}) \simeq (\mathcal{O}_X \boxtimes J) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X} \simeq i_{\Delta_X*}(J/J^2). \quad \square$$

Thus we have a quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X} \simeq (i_{\Delta_X*}(J/J^2) \longrightarrow I_{\Delta_A}|_{X \times X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times X})$, with the complex in degrees $-2, -1$ and 0 .

Definition 2.3. The *truncated universal Atiyah class*

$$\alpha_X \in \text{Ext}_{X \times X}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X}, i_{\Delta_X*}(\mathbb{L}_X))$$

is given by the map of complexes

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X} & \simeq & \left(i_{\Delta_X*}(J/J^2) \longrightarrow I_{\Delta_A}|_{X \times X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times X} \right) \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\ i_{\Delta_X*}\mathbb{L}_X[1] & \simeq & \left(i_{\Delta_X*}(J/J^2) \longrightarrow (I_{\Delta_A}/I_{\Delta_A}^2)|_{X \times X} \right), \end{array}$$

where the second vertical arrow is the obvious projection. For this to make sense we must first check that the diagram (2.6) commutes. Define $\varphi: I_{\Delta_A}|_{X \times A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}$ by

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I_{\Delta_A}|_{X \times A} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{X \times A} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & \searrow \varphi & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & i_{\Delta_X*}(J/J^2) & \longrightarrow & I_{\Delta_A}|_{X \times X} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{X \times X} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

In the bottom row we are suppressing the pushforward map from $X \times X$ to $X \times A$. The kernel of φ is $(I_{\Delta_X \subset X \times A}) \cap (\mathcal{O}_X \boxtimes J) = \mathcal{O}_X \boxtimes J$, which yields

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_X \boxtimes J & \longrightarrow & I_{\Delta_A}|_{X \times A} \\ \psi \downarrow & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow \\ i_{\Delta_X*}(J/J^2) & \longrightarrow & I_{\Delta_A}|_{X \times X}. \end{array}$$

Since the inclusion of $\mathcal{O}_X \boxtimes J$ inside $I_{\Delta_A}|_{X \times A} \subset \mathcal{O}_{X \times A}$ is part of the exact sequence (2.4), the description (2.5) of $i_{\Delta_X*}(J/J^2)$ as $(\mathcal{O}_X \boxtimes J) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X}$ shows that ψ is the natural projection $\mathcal{O}_X \boxtimes J \rightarrow i_{\Delta_X*}(\mathcal{O}_X \boxtimes J)|_{\Delta_X} \simeq i_{\Delta_X*}(J/J^2)$. So it suffices to show that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_X \boxtimes J & \longrightarrow & I_{\Delta_A}|_{X \times A} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ i_{\Delta_X*}(J/J^2) & \xrightarrow{i_{\Delta_X*}(d_{X/A})} & (I_{\Delta_A}/I_{\Delta_A}^2)|_{X \times X} \end{array}$$

commutes. Given $f \in J$, $d_{X/A}(f)$ is the image of $1 \otimes f - f \otimes 1$ in $(I_{\Delta_A}/I_{\Delta_A}^2)|_{X \times X}$. But $(f \otimes 1)|_{X \times A} = 0$, so this is the image of $1 \otimes f \in \mathcal{O}_X \boxtimes J \subset I_{\Delta_A}|_{X \times A}$ in $(I_{\Delta_A}/I_{\Delta_A}^2)|_{X \times X}$.

Using methods like those of Section 2.1 one can also show that the truncated Atiyah class is independent of the embedding $X \subset A$.

Composing (2.6) with the direct image of the natural map $\mathbb{L}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{L}_X)$ gives

$$\begin{array}{c} (I_{\Delta_X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}) \\ \downarrow \\ I_{\Delta_X}/I_{\Delta_X}^2. \end{array}$$

(Here we have used the isomorphism $(I_{\Delta_A}|_{X \times X})/i_{\Delta_X*}(J/J^2) \simeq I_{\Delta_X}$ of Lemma 2.2.) Dividing the upper row by the acyclic complex $I_{\Delta_X}^2 \rightarrow I_{\Delta_X}^2$ we get the class in $\text{Ext}_{X \times X}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X}, i_{\Delta_X*}\Omega_X)$ of the extension

$$(2.7) \quad 0 \longrightarrow i_{\Delta_X*}\Omega_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}/I_{\Delta_X}^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Therefore α_X projects to the classical universal Atiyah class.

Remark 2.4. Another way of phrasing the construction is as follows. The natural map

$$(2.8) \quad I_{\Delta_X} \longrightarrow i_{\Delta_X*}\Omega_X$$

(given by the projection $I_{\Delta_X} \rightarrow I_{\Delta_X}/I_{\Delta_X}^2$) has a natural lift

$$(2.9) \quad I_{\Delta_X} \longrightarrow i_{\Delta_X*}\mathbb{L}_X$$

given by the map of complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (i_{\Delta_X^*}(J/J^2) \longrightarrow I_{\Delta_A}|_{X \times X}) & \simeq & I_{\Delta_X} \\ \parallel & \downarrow & \\ (i_{\Delta_X^*}(J/J^2) \longrightarrow (I_{\Delta_A}/I_{\Delta_A}^2)|_{X \times X}) & \simeq & i_{\Delta_X^*}\mathbb{L}_X. \end{array}$$

Consider the boundary map of exact sequence $0 \rightarrow I_{\Delta_X} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times X} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X} \rightarrow 0$:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X} \longrightarrow I_{\Delta_X}[1].$$

The classical Atiyah class is its composition with (2.8), while the truncated Atiyah class is its composition with (2.9).

Remark 2.5. After pushing forward α_X by the inclusion $\iota: X \times X \hookrightarrow X \times A$, we claim that it can be described by the obvious diagram

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} J/J^2 & \xlongequal{\quad} & J/J^2 & & & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\ 0 \rightarrow \Omega_A|_X & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{X \times A}/I_{\Delta_X \subset X \times A}^2 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X} & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ 0 \rightarrow \Omega_X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}/I_{\Delta_X}^2 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X} & \rightarrow & 0. \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\ 0 & & 0 & & & & \end{array}$$

Here we have suppressed some pushforward maps for appearance's sake. The middle row is the restriction to $X \times A$ of $0 \rightarrow I_{\Delta_A}/I_{\Delta_A}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A \times A}/I_{\Delta_A}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_A} \rightarrow 0$, using the vanishing of $\mathcal{T}or_1^{A \times A}(\mathcal{O}_{X \times A}, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_A})$ (as shown in the proof of Lemma 2.2).

The bottom row is (the pushforward of) the extension (2.7) defining the classical Atiyah class. We view the top two rows as a horizontal short exact sequence of two-term vertical complexes, giving an extension class in $\text{Ext}_{X \times A}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X}, \iota_* i_{\Delta_X^*}(\mathbb{L}_X))$ projecting to the classical Atiyah class. Write this extension class as

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\iota_* i_{\Delta_X^*} \Omega_A|_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times A}/I_{\Delta_X \subset X \times A}^2) & \simeq & \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X} \\ \parallel & & \\ \iota_* i_{\Delta_X^*} \mathbb{L}_X[1] \simeq & (\iota_* i_{\Delta_X^*} J/J^2 \rightarrow \iota_* i_{\Delta_X^*} \Omega_A|_X). \end{array}$$

This is quasi-isomorphic to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (I_{\Delta_X \subset X \times A} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times A}) & \simeq & \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X} \\ \downarrow & & \\ \iota_* i_{\Delta_X^*} \mathbb{L}_X[1] \simeq & (\iota_* i_{\Delta_X^*} J/J^2 \rightarrow \iota_* i_{\Delta_X^*} \Omega_A|_X), \end{array}$$

as can be seen by dividing the two sheaves on the top row by $I_{\Delta_X \subset X \times A}^2$. Using (2.2), the vertical arrow is the projection $I_{\Delta_A}|_{X \times A} \rightarrow (I_{\Delta_A}/I_{\Delta_A}^2)|_{X \times A}$.

Since any sheaf F on $X \times A$ has a natural map to $F \otimes \iota_* \mathcal{O}_{X \times X} = \iota_*(F|_{X \times X})$, this maps term by term and quasi-isomorphically to the map of complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\iota_* i_{\Delta_X}^* J/J^2 \longrightarrow \iota_*(I_{\Delta_A}|_{X \times X}) \longrightarrow \iota_* \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}) & \simeq & \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \\ \iota_* i_{\Delta_X}^* \mathbb{L}_X[1] \simeq & & (\iota_* i_{\Delta_X}^* J/J^2 \longrightarrow \iota_* i_{\Delta_X}^* \Omega_A|_X). \end{array}$$

But this is precisely the pushforward of our original definition (2.6) of α_X .

Definition 2.6. Thinking of (2.6) as a map of Fourier–Mukai kernels, we apply it to a perfect complex E on X to yield the *truncated Atiyah class* of E ,

$$(2.12) \quad A(E) := \alpha_X(E) \in \mathrm{Ext}_X^1(E, E \otimes \mathbb{L}_X),$$

mapping under $\mathbb{L}_X \longrightarrow \Omega_X$ to the classical Atiyah class in $\mathrm{Ext}_X^1(E, E \otimes \Omega_X)$.

2.3. Square zero extensions and Kodaira–Spencer classes. Now fix $i: X_0 \hookrightarrow X$, a closed embedding defined by an ideal sheaf I of square zero: $I^2 = 0$. This allows us to consider I to be an $\mathcal{O}_{X_0}$ -module. From $X \subset A$ we inherit a closed embedding $X_0 \subset A$ with ideal J_0 .

By Lemma 2.2 applied to both $(X_0 \subset A, J_0)$ and $(X_0 \subset X, I)$ in place of $(X \subset A, J)$ we get the two horizontal short exact sequences

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & i_{\Delta_{X_0}}^*(J_0/J_0^2) & \longrightarrow & I_{\Delta_A}|_{X_0 \times X_0} & \longrightarrow & I_{\Delta_{X_0}} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & i_{\Delta_{X_0}}^*(I) & \longrightarrow & I_{\Delta_X}|_{X_0 \times X_0} & \longrightarrow & I_{\Delta_{X_0}} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

The first vertical arrow is induced by the other two. The top left hand corner, composed with the surjection $I_{\Delta_A}|_{X_0 \times X_0} \longrightarrow (I_{\Delta_A}/I_{\Delta_A}^2)|_{X_0 \times X_0}$, gives

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} i_{\Delta_{X_0}}^*(J_0/J_0^2) & \longrightarrow & (I_{\Delta_A}/I_{\Delta_A}^2)|_{X_0 \times X_0} \\ \downarrow & & \\ i_{\Delta_{X_0}}^*(I) & & \end{array}$$

Since all three sheaves are supported on Δ_{X_0} , the maps are pushforwards from Δ_{X_0} ; i.e. the above is $i_{\Delta_{X_0}}^*$ of a unique diagram

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} J_0/J_0^2 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_A|_{X_0} \\ \downarrow & & \\ I, & & \end{array}$$

and the upper row defines the truncated cotangent complex of X_0 .

Definition 2.7. The *truncated Kodaira–Spencer class* of the square zero extension $i: X_0 \hookrightarrow X$ is the extension class

$$\kappa(X_0/X) \in \mathrm{Ext}_{X_0}^1(\mathbb{L}_{X_0}, I)$$

defined by (2.15).

The map of complexes (2.15) factors through the map of complexes

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} I & \longrightarrow & \Omega_X|_{X_0} \\ \downarrow & & \\ I. & & \end{array}$$

When $I \longrightarrow \Omega_X|_{X_0}$ is an injection (i.e. when it is quasi-isomorphic as a complex to Ω_{X_0}), (2.16) is the *classical* Kodaira–Spencer class in $\mathrm{Ext}_{X_0}^1(\Omega_{X_0}, I)$ and the truncated class is its pullback via the map $\mathbb{L}_{X_0} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X_0}$.

2.4. Product of the two classes. It follows that the product of the universal truncated Atiyah class (2.6) of X_0 and the pushforward by $i_{\Delta_{X_0}*}$ of the universal truncated Kodaira–Spencer class of $X_0 \subset X$, which is (2.14), can be represented by the morphism of complexes

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (i_{\Delta_{X_0}*}(J_0/J_0^2) \longrightarrow I_{\Delta_X}|_{X_0 \times X_0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_0 \times X_0}) \\ \downarrow \\ i_{\Delta_{X_0}*}(I). \end{array}$$

Consider (2.13) to be a (vertical) quasi-isomorphism between two 2-term (horizontal) complexes that are themselves quasi-isomorphic to $I_{\Delta_{X_0}}$. By construction the above vertical map factors through this quasi-isomorphism. Therefore (2.17) can be written

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (i_{\Delta_{X_0}*}(I) \longrightarrow I_{\Delta_X}|_{X_0 \times X_0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_0 \times X_0}) \\ \downarrow \\ i_{\Delta_{X_0}*}(I), \end{array}$$

with vertical arrow the identity. That is, $i_{\Delta_{X_0}*}(\kappa(X_0/X)) \circ \alpha_{X_0} \in \mathrm{Ext}_{X_0 \times X_0}^2(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X_0}}, i_{\Delta_{X_0}*}(I))$ is the extension class of the exact sequence

$$(2.19) \quad 0 \longrightarrow i_{\Delta_{X_0}*}(I) \longrightarrow I_{\Delta_X}|_{X_0 \times X_0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_0 \times X_0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X_0}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

2.5. The universal obstruction class. Let $h = \mathrm{id} \times i$ denote the natural closed embedding $X_0 \times X_0 \hookrightarrow X_0 \times X$ and define

$$H := h^* h_* \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X_0}},$$

where here h^* is the *derived* pull-back. Then H is a complex concentrated in degree ≤ 0 . Now $\mathrm{Tor}_0^{X_0 \times X}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X_0}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_0 \times X_0}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X_0}}$, and $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{X_0 \times X}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X_0}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_0 \times X_0}) \simeq i_{\Delta_{X_0}*}(I)$ by Lemma 2.2 applied to $(X_0 \subset X, I)$. Therefore

$$(2.20) \quad \mathcal{H}^0(H) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X_0}} \text{ and } \mathcal{H}^{-1}(H) \simeq i_{\Delta_{X_0}*}(I).$$

This leads to the following definition (whose name will be justified in Section 3).

Definition 2.8. The *universal obstruction class*

$$\varpi(X_0/X) \in \mathrm{Ext}_{X_0 \times X_0}^2(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X_0}}, i_{\Delta_{X_0}*}(I))$$

of $X_0 \subset X$ is the extension class of the exact triangle

$$(2.21) \quad \mathcal{H}^{-1}(H)[1] \longrightarrow \tau^{\geq -1}(H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(H).$$

Theorem 2.9. $\varpi(X_0/X)$ equals the product $i_{\Delta_{X_0}*}(\kappa(X_0/X)) \circ \alpha_{X_0}$.

Proof. Consider the exact sequence

$$(2.22) \quad 0 \longrightarrow I_{\Delta_{X_0} \subset X_0 \times X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_0 \times X} \longrightarrow h_* \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X_0}} \longrightarrow 0$$

as a resolution of $h_* \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X_0}}$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{X_0 \times X}$ is flat, the result of applying the *underived* functor h^* to the resolution is $\tau^{\geq -1}(H)$. So we restrict to $X_0 \times X_0$, giving

$$(2.23) \quad \begin{array}{c} 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^{X_0 \times X}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X_0}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_0 \times X_0}) \longrightarrow I_{\Delta_X}|_{X_0 \times X_0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_0 \times X_0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X_0}} \longrightarrow 0, \\ \parallel \\ i_{\Delta_{X_0}*}(I) \end{array}$$

by Lemma 2.2. The two terms in the middle represent the complex $\tau^{\geq -1}(H)$, and the exact sequence represents the triangle (2.21).

But (2.23) is precisely the exact sequence (2.19): both are by construction the exact sequence (2.3) of Lemma 2.2, applied to $(X_0 \subset X, I)$ in place of $(X \subset A, J)$. $\square$

Remark 2.10. i) Recall that when I injects into $\Omega_X|_{X_0}$, the class $\kappa(X_0/X)$ is the pullback of the classical Kodaira–Spencer class (2.16). In this case one can show that the above product is just the product of the *classical* Atiyah and Kodaira–Spencer classes.

This is the case, for instance, when X is flat over $\mathrm{Spec}(k[t]/t^{n+1})$ and X_0 is its base-change to $\mathrm{Spec}(k[t]/t^n)$: the situation studied in [5]. This explains why it was not necessary to work with (truncated) cotangent complexes in that paper.

ii) In [5] the base scheme is simply $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$ and X_0 is deformed into a non-algebraic direction. In particular the situation studied there is one of the rare examples where X cannot be embedded into a smooth ambient scheme A over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$. However, an embedding into a smooth formal scheme can still be found. Indeed, in [5] X is the n th order neighbourhood of a smooth holomorphic family over a one-dimensional disk and we can let A be the formal neighbourhood of the special fibre inside this holomorphic family. The arguments in this section work equally well in this setting.

3. OBSTRUCTIONS

3.1. Deformations and extension classes. By (2.20) we have the following natural exact triangles on X_0 :

$$(3.1) \quad \tau^{\leq -1}H \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X_0}}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(3.2) \quad \tau^{\leq -2}H \longrightarrow \tau^{\leq -1}H \longrightarrow i_{\Delta_{X_0}*}I[1].$$

The universal obstruction class of Definition 2.8 is the composition of $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X_0}} \longrightarrow \tau^{\leq -1}H[1]$ from the first triangle (3.1) and $\tau^{\leq -1}H[1] \longrightarrow i_{\Delta_{X_0}*}I[2]$ from the second (3.2):

$$(3.3) \quad \varpi(X_0/X): \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X_0}} \longrightarrow i_{\Delta_{X_0}*}I[2].$$

Thinking of these as Fourier–Mukai kernels, act them on a fixed perfect complex E_0 on X_0 . The result is the exact triangles

$$(3.4) \quad Q_{E_0} \longrightarrow i^*i_*E_0 \longrightarrow E_0, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(3.5) \quad (\tau^{\leq -2}H)(E_0) \longrightarrow Q_{E_0} \xrightarrow{\pi_{E_0}} E_0 \otimes I[1].$$

The triangle (3.4) is adjunction $i^*i_*E_0 \longrightarrow E_0$, whose kernel we denote Q_{E_0} . By (3.3), the composition of $E_0 \longrightarrow Q_{E_0}[1]$ from the first triangle (3.4) and $\pi_{E_0}: Q_{E_0}[1] \longrightarrow E_0 \otimes I[2]$ from the second (3.5) gives the *obstruction class* of E_0 ,

$$(3.6) \quad \varpi(X_0/X)(E_0): E_0 \longrightarrow E_0 \otimes I[2].$$

In this section we justify this terminology.

By a deformation of E_0 over X we mean a perfect complex E on X whose derived restriction i^*E is isomorphic to E_0 . Such a deformation sits in an obvious exact triangle

$$(3.7) \quad i_*(E_0 \otimes I) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow i_*E_0 \xrightarrow{e} i_*(E_0 \otimes I)[1],$$

defining, and defined by, an extension class $e \in \text{Ext}_X^1(i_*E_0, i_*(E_0 \otimes I))$. Applying the derived functor i^* gives the horizontal triangle in the diagram

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & Q_{E_0} & & & \\ & & & \downarrow & \searrow \Phi_e & \searrow \Psi_e & \\ i^*i_*(E_0 \otimes I) & \longrightarrow & i^*E & \longrightarrow & i^*i_*E_0 & \xrightarrow{i^*e} & i^*i_*(E_0 \otimes I)[1] \\ & & \searrow r & & \downarrow & \searrow \tilde{e} & \downarrow \\ & & & E_0 & & & E_0 \otimes I[1] \end{array}$$

The two lower vertical arrows are adjunction. Therefore the central vertical triangle is (3.4), and the map $\tilde{e} \in \text{Ext}_{X_0}^1(i^*i_*E_0, E_0 \otimes I) \simeq \text{Ext}_X^1(i_*E_0, i_*(E_0 \otimes I))$ is what corresponds to e under adjunction.

Since the map denoted r (the restriction map) is an isomorphism, Φ_e is too. Conversely, given any extension $e: i_*E_0 \longrightarrow i_*(E_0 \otimes I)[1]$, the map r is an isomorphism if Φ_e is. Therefore

Lemma 3.1. *Deformations E of E_0 are equivalent to extensions $e \in \text{Ext}_X^1(i_*E_0, i_*(E_0 \otimes I))$ for which Φ_e (3.8) is an isomorphism. $\square$*

Lemma 3.2. *If e describes a deformation E of E_0 then the map Ψ_e of (3.8) is*

$$\pi_{E_0}: Q_{E_0} \longrightarrow E_0 \otimes I[1]$$

defined in (3.5).

Proof. We begin by stating two results whose verification is left to the reader.

(i) Fix a morphism $f : Z \rightarrow Y$ and complexes of sheaves $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H}$ on Z and $\mathcal{G}$ on Y . There are the following compatibilities between the adjunction $f^*f_* \rightarrow \text{id}$ and the projection formula.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 f^*f_*\mathcal{F} \otimes f^*\mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{\text{adj} \otimes \text{id}} & \mathcal{F} \otimes f^*\mathcal{G} \\
 \downarrow \simeq & & \parallel \\
 f^*(f_*\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}) & \circlearrowleft & \\
 \downarrow \simeq & & \\
 (f^*f_*)(\mathcal{F} \otimes f^*\mathcal{G}) & \xrightarrow{\text{adj}} & \mathcal{F} \otimes f^*\mathcal{G}
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 f_*(f^*f_*\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{H}) & \xrightarrow{f_*(\text{adj} \otimes \text{id})} & f_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{H}) \\
 \downarrow \simeq & & \parallel \\
 f_*\mathcal{F} \otimes f_*\mathcal{H} & \circlearrowleft & \\
 \downarrow \simeq & & \\
 f_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes f^*f_*\mathcal{H}) & \xrightarrow{f_*(\text{id} \otimes \text{adj})} & f_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{H})
 \end{array}$$

(ii) Suppose $\mathcal{F}$ is a Fourier–Mukai kernel on $X_0 \times X_0$ for a functor $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}}$ going from the second factor to the first³ and $\mathcal{G} = h_*\mathcal{F}$ is its direct image under $h : X_0 \times X_0 \hookrightarrow X_0 \times X$ viewed as Fourier–Mukai kernel for a functor $\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}$ going from X to X_0 . Then $\Phi_{\mathcal{G}} \simeq \Phi_{\mathcal{F}} \circ i^*$.

Start with the trivial case $E_0 = \mathcal{O}_{X_0}$ with canonical deformation $E = \mathcal{O}_X$. Then it is easy to see that $Q_{\mathcal{O}_{X_0}} \simeq i^*i_*I[1]$ and both maps Ψ_e and $\pi_{\mathcal{O}_{X_0}}$ are the adjunction

$$(3.9) \qquad i^*i_*I[1] \longrightarrow I[1],$$

i.e. the map given by taking $\mathcal{H}^{-1}$.

For general E_0 , we tensor the diagram (3.8) for the deformation $\mathcal{O}_X$ of $\mathcal{O}_{X_0}$ by E_0 , and use E to pass through i_* s and i^* s; for instance

$$E_0 \otimes i^*i_*I \simeq i^*(E \otimes i_*I) \simeq i^*i_*(E_0 \otimes I).$$

Using (i) we find the adjunction maps also survive tensoring with E_0 , so the result is the diagram (3.8) for the deformation E of E_0 .

So we are left with showing that π_{E_0} in (3.5) is E_0 tensored with (3.9) when $E_0 = i^*E$. Using E to commute E_0 past i^*i_* again, we find it is sufficient to show that π_{E_0} is the adjunction $i^*i_*(E_0 \otimes I)[1] \rightarrow E_0 \otimes I[1]$ when $E_0 = i^*E$.

We do this at the level of Fourier–Mukai transforms. Recall that the Fourier–Mukai kernel for i^*i_* is $H = h^*h_*\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X_0}}$, so by (ii) the composition $i^*i_*i^*$ has kernel $h_*h^*h_*\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X_0}}$ on $X_0 \times X$. The second diagram in (i) yields the commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 h_*h^*h_*\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X_0}} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & h_*((h^*h_*\mathcal{O}_{X_0 \times X_0}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X_0}}) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 h_*\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X_0}} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & h_*(\mathcal{O}_{X_0 \times X_0} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X_0}}),
 \end{array}$$

with the vertical arrows the natural adjunctions, which coincide as maps to $h_*\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X_0}}$ – they are both the $\mathcal{H}^0$ -map. Taking kernels of the vertical maps gives $h_*(\tau^{\leq -1}H)$ on the left hand side.

³In this proof only, for notational reasons we write the kernels for Fourier–Mukai functors from A to be B as objects on $B \times A$; the reverse of our usual convention. Therefore, for instance, $h_*\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X_0}}$ is the kernel for the functor i^* (not i_* , as it would be with the other convention).

On the right hand side resolving $h_*\mathcal{O}_{X_0 \times X_0}$ by $h_*(\mathcal{O}_{X_0} \boxtimes I) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_0 \times X}$ shows that the kernel is isomorphic to

$$h_*(h^*h_*(\mathcal{O}_{X_0} \boxtimes I[1]) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X_0}}) = h_*h^*h_*(i_{\Delta_{X_0}*}(I)[1]).$$

Therefore $h_*(\tau^{\leq -1}H) \simeq h_*h^*h_*(i_{\Delta_{X_0}*}(I)[1])$. Applied to any perfect complex E on X this gives the isomorphism $Q_{E_0} \simeq i^*i_*(E_0 \otimes I)[1]$, where $E_0 = i^*E$.

Now take the $\mathcal{H}^{-1}$ -map

$$h_*h^*h_*(i_{\Delta_{X_0}*}(I)[1]) \longrightarrow h_*(i_{\Delta_{X_0}*}(I)[1]).$$

Applied to E this induces the adjunction $i^*i_*(E_0 \otimes I)[1] \longrightarrow E_0 \otimes I[1]$, where $E_0 = i^*E$. But by construction it is the pushforward by h_* of the second arrow of (3.2), and so applied to E it gives π_{E_0} by its definition (3.5). $\square$

This section is devoted to proving the converse. Together with Lemma 3.2 this proves

Theorem 3.3. *Deformations E of E_0 are equivalent to extensions $e \in \text{Ext}_X^1(i_*E_0, i_*(E_0 \otimes I))$ for which $\Psi_e = \pi_{E_0}$.*

This implies the main Theorem of the Introduction:

Corollary 3.4. *There is a deformation E of E_0 if and only if*

$$0 = (\text{id}_{E_0} \otimes \kappa(X_0/X)) \circ A(E_0) \in \text{Ext}_{X_0}^2(E_0, E_0 \otimes I),$$

in which case the deformations form a torsor over $\text{Ext}_{X_0}^1(E_0, E_0 \otimes I)$.

Proof. Apply $\text{Hom}_{X_0}(\cdot, E_0 \otimes I[1])$ to the exact triangle $Q_{E_0} \longrightarrow i^*i_*E_0 \longrightarrow E_0$:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Ext}_X^1(i_*E_0, i_*(E_0 \otimes I)) \\ \parallel \\ \text{Ext}_{X_0}^1(E_0, E_0 \otimes I) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{X_0}^1(i^*i_*E_0, E_0 \otimes I) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{X_0}^1(Q_{E_0}, E_0 \otimes I) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{X_0}^2(E_0, E_0 \otimes I). \end{array}$$

Comparing to (3.8), the second arrow takes an extension $\tilde{e}$ to the map Ψ_e . So Theorem 3.3 says that $\tilde{e}$ corresponds to a deformation E of E_0 if and only if $\Psi_e = \pi_{E_0}$.

The last arrow in (3.10) maps π_{E_0} to its composition with $E_0 \longrightarrow Q_{E_0}[1]$, which by (3.6) is our obstruction class $\varpi(E_0)$. By Theorem 2.9 applied to E_0 this is the product $(\text{id}_{E_0} \otimes \kappa(X_0/X)) \circ A(E_0)$. Therefore the first assertion of the Corollary follows from the exact sequence (3.10). For the second part, observe that if a deformation E exists then the adjunction $i^*i_*E_0 \longrightarrow E_0$ is split (by the inverse of the map r in (3.8)) and hence $\text{Ext}_{X_0}^1(E_0, E_0 \otimes I) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{X_0}^1(i^*i_*E_0, E_0 \otimes I)$ is injective. $\square$

By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, Theorem 3.3 is equivalent to the following assertion. For a given $e \in \text{Ext}_X^1(i_*E_0, i_*(E_0 \otimes I))$,

$$\Phi_e \text{ is an isomorphism if and only if } \Psi_e \text{ is the morphism } \pi_{E_0}: Q_{E_0} \longrightarrow E_0 \otimes I[1].$$

Proving the ‘if’ part of this directly would give the most satisfactory treatment of the obstruction theory via the methods of this paper. This seems to be extremely difficult, though we are not entirely sure why.

3.2. Proof in the affine case. Instead we mimic Lieblich, passing to the local case first. So we prove Theorem 3.3 for $X_0 \subset X$ both affine as schemes (and not just as schemes over B), where we may assume that E_0 is a bounded complex $E_0^\bullet$ of free modules. Where possible we use similar notation to Lieblich in our slightly different setting.

So we fix an extension e with $\Psi_e = \pi_{E_0}$. We observed in the proof of Corollary 3.4 that the image of π_{E_0} in the exact sequence (3.10) is $\varpi(E_0)$, so $\varpi(E_0) = 0$ by assumption.

Thinking of the complex $I_{\Delta_{X_0} \subset X_0 \times X} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_0 \times X}$ as a Fourier–Mukai kernel, quasi-isomorphic to the kernel $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X_0}}$ of the pushforward i_* , we apply it to $E_0^\bullet$. This gives a resolution

$$(3.11) \quad (K^\bullet \longrightarrow \Gamma^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sim} i_* E_0^\bullet$$

which moreover is a quasi-isomorphism for each fixed index $\bullet$. Here $\Gamma^j := \Gamma(E_0^j) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(B)} \mathcal{O}_X$ and K^j is the kernel of the evaluation map $\Gamma^j \rightarrow i_* E_0^j$.

Pulling the Fourier–Mukai kernels back to $X_0 \times X_0$ gives the exact sequence (2.23) with extension class $\varpi(X_0/X)$ in (3.3). Applying this to $E_0^\bullet$ shows that pulling (3.11) back to X_0 gives the compatible long exact sequences

$$(3.12) \quad 0 \longrightarrow E_0^\bullet \otimes I \longrightarrow K^\bullet|_{X_0} \longrightarrow \Gamma^\bullet|_{X_0} \longrightarrow E_0^\bullet \longrightarrow 0,$$

with extension class the obstruction class $\varpi(E_0) \in \text{Ext}_{X_0}^2(E_0, E_0 \otimes I)$. (We use $\cdot|_{X_0}$ to denote the *underived* functor i^* .)

Pick a free deformation E^j of each (free) E_0^j to X . Since Γ^j is free, we can split it as $N^j \oplus E^j$ so that the map $\Gamma^j \rightarrow i_* E_0^j$ of (3.11) is projection to the second factor of $N^j \oplus E^j$ followed by restriction to X_0 . Being in the kernel of this map, N^j lifts naturally to K^j in (3.11). The result is the following non-canonical splitting of (3.11), incompatible with the differential.

$$(3.13) \quad 0 \longrightarrow N^j \oplus i_*(E_0^j \otimes I) \longrightarrow N^j \oplus E^j \longrightarrow i_* E_0^j \longrightarrow 0.$$

Restricting to X_0 splits (3.12) as

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E_0^j \otimes I & \longrightarrow & N^j|_{X_0} \oplus (E_0^j \otimes I) & \longrightarrow & N^j|_{X_0} \oplus E_0^j \longrightarrow E_0^j \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E_0^{j+1} \otimes I & \longrightarrow & N^{j+1}|_{X_0} \oplus (E_0^{j+1} \otimes I) & \longrightarrow & N^{j+1}|_{X_0} \oplus E_0^{j+1} \longrightarrow E_0^{j+1} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E_0^{j+2} \otimes I & \longrightarrow & N^{j+2}|_{X_0} \oplus (E_0^{j+2} \otimes I) & \longrightarrow & N^{j+2}|_{X_0} \oplus E_0^{j+2} \longrightarrow E_0^{j+2} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

With respect to this splitting the differential $\Gamma^j|_{X_0} \rightarrow \Gamma^{j+1}|_{X_0}$ takes the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & \beta \\ * & d_{E_0} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $N^{j+1}|_{X_0}$ lies naturally in $K^{j+1}|_{X_0}$, β defines a map from E_0^j to $K^{j+1}|_{X_0}$. Composing with the differential to $K^{j+2}|_{X_0}$ and projecting to $E_0^{j+2} \otimes I$ defines a map $E_0 \rightarrow E_0 \otimes I[2]$ that represents the extension class $\varpi(E_0)$ of (3.12).

We now describe this map on X using (3.13) in place of (3.14) on X_0 . The differential on Γ^j splits as

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{pmatrix} * & \gamma \\ * & d_E \end{pmatrix},$$

where γ factors through a map from E^j to K^{j+1} . (After restricting to $K^{j+1}|_{X_0}$ this vanishes on $E^j \otimes i_* I$ and descends to the map $\beta: E_0^j \rightarrow K^{j+1}|_{X_0}$ above.) The splitting (3.15) defines d_E on $E^\bullet$, which need not square to zero, though it covers the genuine differential d_{E_0} .

The inclusion $K^\bullet \hookrightarrow \Gamma^\bullet$ commutes with the differentials d_K, d_Γ , so instead of applying d_K as above we apply d_Γ to γ . Applying the projection P_E to the E^{j+2} component of Γ^{j+2} gives a map $P_E(d_\Gamma \gamma): i_* E_0^j \rightarrow E^{j+2}$. This projects to zero in $i_* E_0^{j+2}$, so has image in $E^{j+2} \otimes i_* I$. By its construction it is i_* applied to the map $\varpi(E_0): E_0^j \rightarrow E_0^{j+2} \otimes I$ described above.

However, the differential on $\Gamma^\bullet$ squares to zero, so applying this to $E^j \subset \Gamma^j$ gives, by (3.15),

$$d_E^2 + P_E(d_\Gamma \gamma) = 0.$$

Thus our obstruction $\varpi(E_0)$ is precisely $[-d_E^2]$. By assumption this is zero in $\text{Ext}_{X_0}^2(E_0, E_0 \otimes I[2])$, so $-d_E^2 = d_{E_0} h + h d_{E_0}$ for some homotopy $h: E_0^j \rightarrow E_0^{j+1} \otimes I$. Lift h to $\tilde{h}: E^j \rightarrow E_0^{j+1} \otimes I$ and add to d_E using the inclusion $E_0^{j+1} \otimes I \hookrightarrow E^{j+1}$. The resulting d_E has square zero and so defines a deformation $(E^\bullet, d_E)$ of $E_0^\bullet$, corresponding to an extension $e' \in \text{Ext}_X^1(i_* E_0, i_*(E_0 \otimes I))$.

Similarly any other differential on $E^\bullet$ (covering d_{E_0} on $E_0^\bullet$) differs from d_E by a map $f: E_0^j \rightarrow E_0^{j+1} \otimes I$ satisfying $d_{E_0} \circ f + f \circ d_{E_0} = 0$. Therefore $[f] \in \text{Ext}_{X_0}^1(E_0, E_0 \otimes I)$, with the resulting map from $\text{Ext}_{X_0}^1(E_0, E_0 \otimes I)$ to $\text{Ext}_X^1(i_* E_0, i_*(E_0 \otimes I))$ being the first map in the exact sequence (3.10). This describes the fibre over π_{E_0} in (3.10), so $e - e'$ is in the image of some $[f] \in \text{Ext}_{X_0}^1(E_0, E_0 \otimes I)$. Altering d_E by f as above gives the deformation $(E^\bullet, d_E)$ of $E_0^\bullet$ corresponding to e , as required.

3.3. Proof in the general case. We can now prove Theorem 3.3 for any $X_0 \subset X$. Suppose that $\varpi(E_0) = 0$: i.e. the exact sequence of Fourier–Mukai kernels (2.23) applied to E_0 gives an exact triangle with zero extension class. Then we claim that the same is true on restriction to any affine open subset U : the restriction of the exact triangle is the restriction of (2.23) to $U \times U$ applied to $E_0|_U$. (This is not true for general Fourier–Mukai kernels, but is true here since $\tau^{\geq -1} H$ is quasi-isomorphic to a complex supported, set-theoretically, on the diagonal, making it a local operator. Using this second complex as a kernel makes the result clear.)

Therefore any $e \in \text{Ext}_X^1(i_* E_0, i_*(E_0 \otimes I))$ mapping to π_{E_0} in (3.10) defines an extension E which, on restriction to U , is a deformation of E_0 by the previous Section 3.2. Therefore it is a deformation of E_0 on X itself, since the condition that the map $r: i^* E \rightarrow E_0$ of (3.8) be a quasi-isomorphism is local.

4. VIRTUAL CYCLES

4.1. Setup. In this Section we assume that B is defined over an algebraically closed field k whose characteristic does not divide the rank of the complexes considered below.

Fix an n -dimensional smooth projective connected morphism $X \rightarrow B$ and a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ over X . Denote by $i_b: X_b \hookrightarrow X$ the fibre of X over a closed point $b \in B$. Given a separated algebraic space $\mathcal{M}/B$ and a point closed point $m \in \mathcal{M}$ sat over b , we denote by $i_m: X_b \hookrightarrow X \times_B \mathcal{M}$ the inclusion of $X_b \times \{m\}$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{M}/B$ is a *relative fine moduli space of perfect simple complexes* with fixed determinant $\mathcal{L}$ on X/B . By this we mean that there is a perfect complex

$$(4.1) \quad \mathbb{E} \in D^b(X \times_B \mathcal{M})$$

(the *universal complex*⁴ for the moduli space) with $\det(\mathbb{E}) \simeq \pi_{\mathcal{M}}^* M \otimes \pi_X^* \mathcal{L}$ for some $M \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{M})$ such that $\mathcal{M}/B$ parameterises the complexes $i_m^* \mathbb{E}$ (simple, of determinant $i_b^* \mathcal{L}$) on the fibres $X_b \times \{m\}$ in the following sense:

- the set of morphisms of B -schemes $f: S \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, and
- the set of equivalence classes of perfect complexes E over $X \times_B S$ whose restriction $i_s^* E$ to any fibre X_s , $s \in S$, is isomorphic to $i_m^* \mathbb{E}$ for some $m \in \mathcal{M}$ and such that $\det(E) \simeq \pi_S^* M_S \otimes \pi_X^* \mathcal{L}$ for some $M_S \in \text{Pic}(S)$

are put in bijection by assigning to f the perfect complex $(\text{id} \times_B f)^* \mathbb{E}$ over $X \times_B S$. By definition, two complexes on $X \times_B S$ are equivalent if they differ by a twist with a line bundle coming from S . (This definition ensures that $\mathcal{M}$ is locally complete, in the sense that any deformation of a complex in $\mathcal{M}$ is also in $\mathcal{M}$.)

As Lieblich rightly pointed out, it is most natural to work with Artin stacks at this point. In [12] it was shown under very general assumptions that complexes as objects in the derived category form an Artin stack which is locally of finite type. However, in the applications we have in mind, the moduli space is also known to exist in the above sense as a quasi-projective variety or an algebraic space. In order to keep the discussion simple (and due to our incompetence), we decided to keep to this setting.

In the following we shall tacitly assume that $\text{rk}(\mathbb{E})$ is a constant (not divisible by the characteristic of our base field k as mentioned above). Also, we will assume that the Euler characteristic $\chi(i_m^* \mathbb{E}, i_m^* \mathbb{E})$ is independent of $m \in \mathcal{M}$, for instance by fixing the numerical invariants of the complexes we are interested in. Finally we will assume that $\mathcal{M}$ admits an embedding into a smooth (over B) ambient space A , though in Section 4.5 below we describe how to remove this assumption.

4.2. Relative obstruction theory. From now on we shall return to suppressing mention of the base B , but as usual all schemes, morphisms, cotangent complexes and canonical bundles will be relative to B , products are fibre products over B , etc.

⁴This can also be done with a twisted universal complex, though we do not need such generality for the application [15] we have in mind.

Let π_X and $\pi_{\mathcal{M}}$ denote the projections from $X \times \mathcal{M}$ to X and $\mathcal{M}$ respectively. For simplicity we will also assume that $\mathrm{rk}(\mathbb{E}) \neq 0$ for now; we deal with the rank zero case at the end of this Section. Then since the composition of $\mathrm{id}: \mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathcal{M}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})$ and $\mathrm{tr}: \mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathcal{M}}$ is multiplication by $\mathrm{rk}(\mathbb{E})$ we get a splitting

$$\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E}) \simeq \mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})_0 \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathcal{M}}.$$

Assuming $\mathcal{M}$ admits a smooth embedding, we have defined the truncated Atiyah class (2.12) of the universal complex $\mathbb{E}$,

$$A(\mathbb{E}) \in \mathrm{Ext}_{X \times \mathcal{M}}^1(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{L}_{X \times \mathcal{M}}).$$

Via $\mathbb{L}_{X \times \mathcal{M}} \simeq \pi_X^* \mathbb{L}_X \oplus \pi_{\mathcal{M}}^* \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}}$ this maps to a class in

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{X \times \mathcal{M}}^1(\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})_0, \pi_{\mathcal{M}}^* \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}}).$$

By Verdier duality along the projective morphism $\pi_{\mathcal{M}}$ this is isomorphic to

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{M}}^{1-n}(\pi_{\mathcal{M}*}(\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})_0 \otimes \omega_{\pi_{\mathcal{M}}}), \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}}),$$

where $\omega_{\pi_{\mathcal{M}}} = \pi_X^* \omega_X$ is the relative dualizing sheaf. We obtain a map

$$(4.2) \quad \pi_{\mathcal{M}*}(\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})_0 \otimes \pi_X^* \omega_X)[n-1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

The results of this paper have essentially proved the following.

Theorem 4.1. *In the notation of [2] (Definition 4.4 and Section 7), the map (4.2) is a relative obstruction theory for $\mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. Fix a morphism of B -schemes,

$$f: S_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M},$$

and an extension $S_0 \subset S$ with ideal I such that $I^2 = 0$.

The composition of the pullback of (4.2),

$$(4.3) \quad f^* \pi_{\mathcal{M}*}(\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})_0 \otimes \pi_X^* \omega_X)[n-1] \longrightarrow f^* \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}}$$

with the natural map $f^* \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{S_0}$ followed by the relative truncated Kodaira–Spencer class of $S_0 \subset S$,

$$\kappa(S_0/S) \in \mathrm{Ext}_{S_0}^1(\mathbb{L}_{S_0}, I),$$

gives an element

$$(4.4) \quad o \in \mathrm{Ext}_{S_0}^{2-n}(f^* \pi_{\mathcal{M}*}(\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})_0 \otimes \pi_X^* \omega_X), I).$$

By [2, Thm. 4.5] we must show that o vanishes if and only if there exists an extension from S_0 to S of the map f of B -schemes, and that when $o = 0$ the set of extensions is a torsor under $\mathrm{Ext}^{1-n}(f^* \pi_{\mathcal{M}*}(\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})_0 \otimes \pi_X^* \omega_X), I)$. (In fact [2, Thm. 4.5] only proves this in the absolute, not relative, case. The proof works just the same over a base.)

Let

$$\bar{f} = \mathrm{id} \times f: X \times S_0 \longrightarrow X \times \mathcal{M}$$

and let $\bar{\pi}$ be the projection

$$\bar{\pi}: X \times S_0 \longrightarrow S_0.$$

Since $\bar{\pi}$ is flat, the composition of (4.3) with $f^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{S_0}$ is

$$\pi_{\mathcal{M}*}(\mathcal{H}om(\bar{f}^*\mathbb{E}, \bar{f}^*\mathbb{E})_0 \otimes \pi_X^*\omega_X)[n-1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{S_0}.$$

By the functoriality of truncated Atiyah classes this is the relative truncated Atiyah class of $\bar{f}^*\mathbb{E}$. Therefore its product with $\kappa(S_0/S)$,

$$(4.5) \quad o \in \mathrm{Ext}_{S_0}^{2-n}(\bar{\pi}_*(\mathcal{H}om(\bar{f}^*\mathbb{E}, \bar{f}^*\mathbb{E})_0 \otimes \omega_{\bar{\pi}}), I) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}_{X \times S_0}^2(\bar{f}^*\mathbb{E}, \bar{f}^*\mathbb{E} \otimes \bar{\pi}^*I)_0$$

(the isomorphism being Verdier duality for $\bar{\pi}$) is precisely the trace-free part of our obstruction class $\varpi(\bar{f}^*\mathbb{E})$.

That the trace of the obstruction class is the obstruction to deforming $\det(\bar{f}^*\mathbb{E})$ is classical. For instance, this is proved for locally free sheaves in [16, Prop. 3.15], and then, using this, for complexes of locally free sheaves in [16, Thm. 3.23]. Since $\det(\bar{f}^*\mathbb{E})$ deforms as $\mathcal{L}$, the trace of $\varpi(E_0)$ vanishes. Similarly the choices of extension are governed by the trace-free part of Ext^1 [16, Thm. 3.23].

Thus by Corollary 3.4 $o = 0$ if and only if there is deformations of the complex $\bar{f}^*\mathbb{E}$ from $X \times S_0$ to $X \times S$, in which case the deformations with fixed determinant form a torsor under $\mathrm{Ext}_{S_0}^1(\bar{f}^*\mathbb{E}, \bar{f}^*\mathbb{E} \otimes \bar{\pi}^*I)_0$.

But deformations of the complex $\bar{f}^*\mathbb{E}$ from $X \times S_0$ to $X \times S$ are in one-to-one correspondence with extensions from S_0 to S of the B -map f , by the definition of a relative fine moduli space (4.1). So we are done. $\square$

4.3. Virtual cycles. To get a virtual cycle we must make this obstruction theory *perfect*, or 2-term. The simplest way to ensure this is to demand that each simple complex $E = i_m^*\mathbb{E}$ in $\mathcal{M}$ satisfies

$$(4.6) \quad \mathrm{Ext}_{X_b}^i(E, E)_0 = 0, \quad i \neq 1, 2.$$

The following is then a standard consequence of the Nakayama Lemma, but we give the argument in full.

Lemma 4.2. *Under the conditions (4.6), the complex $\pi_{\mathcal{M}*}(\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})_0)$ on $\mathcal{M}$ is quasi-isomorphic to a 2-term complex of locally free sheaves $(F^1 \longrightarrow F^2)$ in degrees 1 and 2.*

Proof. Resolve $\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})_0 \longrightarrow A^\bullet$ by a finite complex of locally free sheaves A^j with vanishing $R^i\pi_{\mathcal{M}*}(A^j)$ for $i \neq 0$ and all j . This can be achieved by picking a very negative locally free resolution of the derived dual, dualising this and then truncating at some $j \gg 0$.

Thus for each j , $\pi_{\mathcal{M}*}(A^j)$ is canonically quasi-isomorphic to some locally free sheaf F^j . The resulting complex $F^\bullet$ is a representative of $\pi_{\mathcal{M}*}(\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})_0)$.

By base change, the restriction of $F^\bullet$ over a closed point $m \in \mathcal{M}$ (over $b \in B$) is a complex of vector spaces computing $\mathrm{Ext}^*(i_m^*\mathbb{E}, i_m^*\mathbb{E})_0$. Therefore by (4.6), the restriction of $F^\bullet$ to each

fibre $X \times \{m\}$ has cohomology only in degrees 1 and 2. So if $F^{j>2}$ is the last nonzero term of $F^\bullet$, then on each fibre

$$(4.7) \quad F^{j-1} \longrightarrow F^j$$

is surjective. Hence, the map (4.7) is surjective globally with locally free kernel. Replacing F^{j-1} by the kernel and F^j by zero, we can inductively assume that $j = 2$. Similarly if the first nonzero term is $F^{i<1}$, then $F^i \longrightarrow F^{i+1}$ is injective on fibres with locally free cokernel. We conclude that $F^\bullet$ is quasi-isomorphic to a 2-term complex $(F^1 \longrightarrow F^2)$. $\square$

Corollary 4.3. *The obstruction theory (4.2) is perfect in the sense of [2, Def. 5.1]. Each $\mathcal{M}_b$, $b \in B$ carries a virtual cycle $[\mathcal{M}_b]^{vir}$ of dimension $\chi(\mathcal{H}om(i_m^* \mathbb{E}, i_m^* \mathbb{E})_0)$ ($m \in \mathcal{M}$) that is deformation invariant: there exists a cycle $[\mathcal{M}]^{vir}$ on $\mathcal{M}$ with $i_b^! [\mathcal{M}]^{vir} = [\mathcal{M}_b]^{vir}$.*

Proof. By Verdier duality and Lemma 4.2, the complex $\pi_{\mathcal{M}*}(\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})_0 \otimes \pi_X^* \omega_X)[n-1]$ of (4.2) is quasi-isomorphic to a 2-term complex of locally free sheaves $(F^2)^\vee \longrightarrow (F^1)^\vee$ in degrees -2 and -1 . The statements about virtual cycles then follow from [2, Prop. 7.2]. $\square$

By Serre duality the conditions (4.6) cannot reasonably be satisfied in dimensions ≥ 4 , and are automatically satisfied in dimensions ≤ 2 by simple complexes E that have no negative Exts. So the critical dimension is 3, where they can be replaced by the conditions that E be simple and

- (i) $\text{Ext}_{X_b}^i(E, E)_0 = 0$, $i < 0$, and
- (ii) $H^0(X_b, \omega_{X_b}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(E, E \otimes \omega_{X_b})$ is an isomorphism.

Serre duality then implies that $\text{tr}: \text{Ext}_{X_b}^3(E, E) \longrightarrow H^3(\mathcal{O}_{X_b})$ is an isomorphism and hence $\text{Ext}_{X_b}^i(E, E)_0$ vanishes for $i \geq 3$.

For *Calabi–Yau* threefolds ($\omega_{X_b} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X_b}$) we only need (i) because E being simple implies (ii). In this case $\chi(\mathcal{H}om(i_m^* \mathbb{E}, i_m^* \mathbb{E})_0) = 0$ so for moduli of simple complexes satisfying (i) we get a degree 0 virtual cycle in each $\mathcal{M}_b$. Thus if $\mathcal{M}/B$ is proper then we get an invariant – the length of the virtual cycle – which is independent of b . By duality the obstruction theory (4.2) is self-dual in the sense of Behrend [1] so if $\mathcal{M}$ is quasi-projective then one can use his weighted Euler characteristic approach to the virtual class, and extend the definition of invariants to non-proper $\mathcal{M}$ (at the expense of losing deformation invariance).

Another situation where (ii) is automatic is for *arbitrary* threefolds and complexes satisfying

$$(ii') \quad \mathcal{H}om^0(E, E) = \mathcal{O}_{X_b} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}xt^i(E, E) = 0, \quad i < 0.$$

This implies both (ii) and that E is simple, and applies to the ideal sheaves of [14, 16] and the complexes $I^\bullet$ of [15].

4.4. The rank zero case. When $\text{rk}(E) = 0$ we consider simple complexes satisfying (ii) or (ii'), but we do *not* fix their determinant. So it is natural to use

$$(4.8) \quad \pi_{\mathcal{M}*}(\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E}) \otimes \pi_X^* \omega_X)[n-1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

(cf. (4.2)) as an obstruction theory. The left hand side is Verdier dual to $\pi_{\mathcal{M}*}\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})[1]$, which by the methods of Lemma (4.2) is quasi-isomorphic to a complex of locally free sheaves concentrated in degrees -1 to 2 . Therefore this obstruction theory is not *perfect*.

To trim it down we first form

$$(4.9) \quad \text{Cone}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} \longrightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{M}*}\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})),$$

where the arrow is the identity map. This map induces an isomorphism $k \longrightarrow \text{Hom}^0(i_m^*\mathbb{E}, i_m^*\mathbb{E})$ on every closed fibre $X \times \{m\}$ of $\pi_{\mathcal{M}}$, so, by the same use of the Nakayama Lemma as in the proof of Lemma 4.2, the complex (4.9) is $\tau^{\geq 1}\pi_{\mathcal{M}*}\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})$, a complex of locally free sheaves in degrees 1 to 3 .

Similarly the top (degree 3) part of the trace map on $\pi_{\mathcal{M}*}\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})$ lifts naturally to the $\mathcal{H}^3$ -map

$$\tau^{\geq 1}\pi_{\mathcal{M}*}\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E}) \longrightarrow R^3\pi_{\mathcal{M}*}(\mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathcal{M}})[-3],$$

with kernel $\tau^{[1,2]}\pi_{\mathcal{M}*}\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})$. Now $R^3\pi_{\mathcal{M}*}(\mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathcal{M}})$ is locally free over $\mathcal{M}$, and after base-change to the fibre over $m \in \mathcal{M}$ the trace map is an isomorphism $\text{Ext}^3(\mathbb{E}_m, \mathbb{E}_m) \longrightarrow H^3(\mathcal{O}_{X_b})$ (Serre dual to the isomorphism (ii)). Therefore the standard Nakayama Lemma methods again show that $\tau^{[1,2]}\pi_{\mathcal{M}*}\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})$ is quasi-isomorphic to a 2-term complex of locally free sheaves.

Finally the map (4.8) gives by Verdier duality the diagram

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\pi_{\mathcal{M}*}\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E}))^\vee[-1] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}} \\ \uparrow & \nearrow & \uparrow \\ (\tau^{\geq 1}\pi_{\mathcal{M}*}\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E}))^\vee[-1] & & \\ \downarrow & \nwarrow a & \\ (\tau^{[1,2]}\pi_{\mathcal{M}*}\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E}))^\vee[-1] & & \end{array}$$

The arrow labelled a can be filled in uniquely since the cone on the lower vertical arrow is $(R^3\pi_{\mathcal{M}*}(\mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathcal{M}})[-3])^\vee$, a vector bundle concentrated in degree -3 , whereas $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is concentrated in degrees -1 and 0 .

Then we modify the proof of Theorem 4.1 to show that the map a is again a relative obstruction theory for $\mathcal{M}$ in the sense of [2, Def. 4.4]. To do this we must assume that the B -schemes S_0 and S in the proof of Theorem 4.1 are *affine* – by the proof of [2, Thm. 4.5] this is sufficient to prove we get an obstruction theory. Following the proof of Theorem 4.1 we get an obstruction o not now in

$$\mathbb{H}^2(\bar{\pi}_*\mathcal{H}om(\bar{f}^*\mathbb{E}, \bar{f}^*\mathbb{E} \otimes \bar{\pi}^*I)_0) = \text{Ext}^2(\bar{f}^*\mathbb{E}, \bar{f}^*\mathbb{E} \otimes \bar{\pi}^*I)_0$$

as in (4.4, 4.5) (where $\mathbb{H}$ denotes hypercohomology), but instead in

$$\mathbb{H}^2(\tau^{[1,2]}\bar{\pi}_*\mathcal{H}om(\bar{f}^*\mathbb{E}, \bar{f}^*\mathbb{E} \otimes \bar{\pi}^*I)).$$

By the collapse of the Leray spectral sequence for $\bar{\pi}$ over affine S_0 , this is

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\mathcal{H}^2(\tau^{[1,2]}\bar{\pi}_*\mathcal{H}om(\bar{f}^*\mathbb{E}, \bar{f}^*\mathbb{E} \otimes \bar{\pi}^*I))) &= H^0(\mathcal{H}^2(\bar{\pi}_*\mathcal{H}om(\bar{f}^*\mathbb{E}, \bar{f}^*\mathbb{E} \otimes \bar{\pi}^*I))) \\ &= \text{Ext}^2(\bar{f}^*\mathbb{E}, \bar{f}^*\mathbb{E} \otimes \bar{\pi}^*I). \end{aligned}$$

In other words the modification (4.10) changed the obstruction theory in degrees 0 and 3 only, so does not affect $\mathcal{E}xt^2$. Therefore the same proof goes through as before and a (4.10) yields our obstruction $\varpi(E_0) \in \text{Ext}^2(\bar{f}^*\mathbb{E}, \bar{f}^*\mathbb{E} \otimes \bar{\pi}^*I)$. In the same way the choices in Ext^1 also work out just as before.

4.5. Removing the smooth embedding hypothesis. For the applications in [15] $\mathcal{M}$ is projective so smoothly embeddable. We also expect more general proper moduli spaces of objects of the derived category of a smooth threefold to be projective. However it is certainly easier to produce such moduli spaces as algebraic spaces by abstract arguments starting from Artin stacks. Therefore it would be nice to produce virtual cycles without the assumption that $\mathcal{M}$ be smoothly embeddable.

This is certainly possible. Firstly we must produce the obstruction theory (4.2) on a general $\mathcal{M}$. One way to do this is to use Illusie's full cotangent complex $L_{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet$ and Atiyah class. The working of Section 4.2 then proceeds exactly as before without the embedding assumption, yielding

$$\pi_{\mathcal{M}*}(\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})_0 \otimes \pi_X^*\omega_X)[n-1] \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet.$$

Composing with the truncation $\tau^{\geq -1}: L_{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}}$ recovers (4.2). Restricted to any subset of $\mathcal{M}$ which is smoothly embeddable this gives the same map as before.

As used in Section 4.4 above, to check that (4.2) is an obstruction theory for $\mathcal{M}$ it is only necessary to consider *affine* B -schemes S_0 and S in the proof of Theorem 4.1. Doing so, we only use their image in $\mathcal{M}$, which is itself affine and therefore smoothly embeddable. Our theory therefore applies on that image to prove Theorem 4.1. The rest of the Section is unaffected, so we obtain deformation invariant virtual cycles under the same conditions as in Section 4.3.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Behrend, *Donaldson–Thomas invariants via microlocal geometry*, to appear in Ann. Math. math.AG/0507523.
- [2] K. Behrend, B. Fantechi, *The intrinsic normal cone*, Invent. Math. 128 (1997), 45–88. alg-geom/9601010.
- [3] R.-O. Buchweitz, H. Flenner, *A Semiregularity Map for Modules and Applications to Deformations*, Compositio Math. 137 (2003), 135–210. math.AG/9912245.
- [4] R.-O. Buchweitz, H. Flenner, *The global decomposition theorem for Hochschild (co-)homology of singular spaces via the Atiyah–Chern character*. Adv. Math. 217 (2008), 243–281. math.AG/0606730.
- [5] D. Huybrechts, E. Macrì, P. Stellari, *Derived equivalences of K3 surfaces and orientation*, arXiv:0710.1645v2.
- [6] D. Huybrechts, R. P. Thomas, *$\mathbb{P}$ -objects and autoequivalences of derived categories*, Math. Res. Lett. 13 (2006), 87–98. math.AG/0507040.
- [7] L. Illusie, *Complexe cotangent et déformations I*, Lecture Notes Math. 239, Springer (1971).
- [8] M. Inaba, *Toward a definition of moduli of complexes of coherent sheaves on a projective scheme*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 42 (2002), 317–329.

- [9] D. Joyce, *Configurations in abelian categories. IV. Invariants and changing stability conditions*, math.AG/0410268.
- [10] M. Kontsevich, Y. Soibelman, *Stability structures, motivic Donaldson–Thomas invariants and cluster transformations*, in preparation.
- [11] J. Li, G. Tian, *Virtual moduli cycles and Gromov–Witten invariants of algebraic varieties*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (1998), 119–174. alg-geom/9602007.
- [12] M. Lieblich, *Moduli of complexes on a proper morphism*, J. Alg. Geom. 15 (2006), 175–206. math.AG/0502198.
- [13] W. Lowen, *Obstruction theory for objects in abelian and derived categories*, Comm. Algebra 33 (2005), 3195–3223. math.KT/0407019.
- [14] D. Maulik, N. Nekrasov, A. Okounkov, R. Pandharipande, *Gromov–Witten theory and Donaldson–Thomas theory. I*, Compos. Math. 142 (2006), 1263–1285. math.AG/0312059.
- [15] R. Pandharipande, R. P. Thomas, *Curve counting via stable pairs in the derived category*, arXiv:0707.2348.
- [16] R. P. Thomas, *A holomorphic Casson invariant for Calabi–Yau 3-folds, and bundles on K3 fibrations*, J. Diff. Geom., 54 (2000), 367–438. math.AG/9806111.
- [17] Y. Toda, *Limit stable objects on Calabi–Yau 3-folds*, arXiv:0803.2356.

D.H.: MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT BONN, BERINGSTR. 1, 53115 BONN, GERMANY
E-mail address: huybrech@math.uni-bonn.de

R.P.T.: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IMPERIAL COLLEGE, LONDON SW7 2AZ, UK
E-mail address: rpwt@ic.ac.uk